

PROJECT STATUS REPORT

JANUARY 2018 - JUNE 2018

		SECTION 1: PROJE	ECT SUMMARY	
PROJECT NAME: Creating a Sus	stainble Sugarcane Industry	in Belize	Projec	t Number: RL-M1012 - Project Num · ATN/ME-15051-RL
Purpose: The project objective	at the results level is to inc	rease farm-level capacity	y of Northern Belize sugar ca	ane farmers to become globally competitive.
Country Admin	Country Benefici	ary		
BELIZE	BELIZE			
Executing Agency:	SUGAR INDUSTRY RESEARC INSTITUTE	H AND DEVELOPMENT	Design Team Leader: Supervision Team Leader:	SEGREE TERRY-ANN SHARLENE SEGREE TERRY-ANN SHARLENE
PROJECT CYCLE				
Approval Date: Jul Signature 	.15 date: Oct. 15 First Disb.: May. 16 	ттттттттттттттттттттттт 6 Feb.17 May.17 Aug.1 Dec.16 Mar.17 Jun.17 :	TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT	Report date: Original exec. end date: Oct. 18 Original last disbursem date: Apr. 19 Aug.18 Nov.18 Feb.19 Aug.18 Nov.18 Feb.19 Aug.18 Dec.18 Mar. 19 Jun.19 Sep.18 Dec.11
FUNDS				
NIF \$1,178,734.31 Counterpart \$3,875,764.00 Disbursed: \$2,221,408	1 - Available amount: \$338,230.69 94 - Available amount: \$1,654,355.06	Disbursed	\$400,000.00 \$300,000.00 \$200,000.00 \$100,000.00 \$0.00	\$230,016.59 2016 2017 2018
PERFORMANCE SCORE				
Current score: Satisfactory: 3.2 MIF Average: 2.788	299	MIF performance	3,164 3,012 un 2016 Dec 2016 J e average	2,738 2,738 un 2017 Dec 2017 Jun 2018
EXTERNAL RISKS				
			INSTIT	UTIONAL CAPACITY Risk Financial Management: Medium Procurement: Low Technical Capacity: Low

JUNE 2018



SECTION 2: PERFORMANCE

Summary of project performance since inception

To date we have completed up to milestone 4 of the project. The forth milestone was completed in March of this year (2018) and consisted of a Harvesting audiovisual which was shown on two television stations as well as an online TV station and a radio station for radio listening farmers in the North. The information given was to indicate the harvesting techniques and processes which result in delivery of a high quality sugar cane to the mill, and was well received by the farmers. We now have two more milestones to complete, the next one is due in August 2018 and is being planned at present. This one will be a strategic communication event and will discuss the sustainability of the project going forward. The farmer field school program was completed during this semester. All the modules have been delivered and a graduation ceremony will be held for participants, including one Junior College, in September of this year. Extension activities now consist of Field Days as well as monitoring for pests and diseases in the trial plots. The sugar cane milling season finished in June of 2018 and while the crop was not as large as last year, it still was a good year in terms of production. An encouraging sign was the improvement of the quality of the deliveries as measured by tons cane to tons sugar. This was better this year and we think it reflects the improved training. SIMIS continues to be discussed and the industry stakeholders have agreed to restart performance monitoring .

Comments from the Supervision Team Leader

Agree with the Executing Agency comments The project is progressing and meeting most of its indicators

Summary of project performance in the last six months

During the past semester the farmer field school program was completed with the participating farmers in all areas of the Northern Districts. Graduation will take place in September. A rotational field day was held on March 15, 2018 for women and youth of the various High Schools in both districts. This was well attended and good participation was observed from students. A field day was held on the topic of Integrated Weed Management to farmers in all areas and was also well attended. An exchange visit was made to Cuba by members of the three associations as well as other stakeholders who were self funded. This was to seek information on environmental concerns using bio-fertilizers instead of chemical. Some farmers are now utilizing the techniques learned by the visit. Our variety trials continue and we now have enough data to show that at least 3 varieties are outstanding enough to be able to recommend use by farmers. The subsequent work on these varieties will grow enough seed material to be able to give to farmers for in-field trials on their farms. These will be recommended for comparison with present varieties used by farmers. We will definitely meet the criteria set by the project in this regard. The SIMIS program continues to be upgraded and the stakeholders have agreed to utilize the program for the next crop to to performance monitoring which will bring the program back on line for use by the industry to gather all important and relevant information .

Comments from the Supervision Team Leader

Agree with the Executing Agency comments

SECTION 3: INDICATORS AND MILESTONES

	Indic	ators	Baseline	Intermediate 1	Intermediate 2	Intermediate 3	Planned	Achieved	Status
Goal: The project objective at the	I.1	Average farms' annual sales growth from cane (CRF 330100) (Disaggregated by sex)	0	15			20	1	
impact level is to sustain or			Oct 2015	Oct 2017			Oct 2018	Jun 2018	
increase the income of Northern	1.2	Number of farmers in lower production threshold (below 75	20	19	17		15	18	
Belize sugarcane farmers		tons) (Disaggregated by sex)	Oct 2015	Oct 2016	Oct 2017		Oct 2018	Jun 2018	
Purpose: The project objective at the results level is to increase farm- level capacity of Northern Belize	R.1	Annual volume of sugar produced by Northern Belize cane farmers (in metric tons)	123000	130000	145000		150000	143309	
			Oct 2015	Oct 2016	Oct 2017		Oct 2018	Jun 2018	
	R.2	Number of farms adopting sustainable production practices (CRF 230600) Improved environmental management and labor practices	0	1000	2000		3500	2585	
sugar cane farmers to become			Oct 2015	Oct 2016	Oct 2017		Oct 2018	Jun 2018	
globally competitive.	R.3	Number of farms that have adopted new technologies or practices (CRF 230100) BMP, replanting, new varieties	0	1000	2000		3500	2585	
			Oct 2015	Oct 2016	Oct 2017		Oct 2018	Jun 2018	
	R.4	Average yield per acre for participating farms	19	19	23		28	25	
		Measured in metric tons per acre.	Oct 2015	Oct 2016	Oct 2017		Oct 2018	Jun 2018	
	R.5	Acres of sugar cane lands sustainably managed or under	0	10000	30000		45000	31000	
		cane cultivation (CRF 240100)	Oct 2015	Oct 2016	Oct 2017		Oct 2018	Jun 2018	
	R.6	Number of acres of sugar cane replanted using best practices	3000	7500	15000		22500	7460	
			Oct 2015	Oct 2016	Oct 2017		Oct 2018	Jun 2018	

Component 1: Enhancing Productivity of		Number of varieties tested by SIRDI and released for	0	2		3	3	Finished
Cane Farmers and Harvesting Groups		propagation	Oct 2015	Oct 2017		Oct 2018	Jun 2018	
Weight: 81%	C1.I2	Number of training modules developed on environmental	0	2		2	2	On Course
		sustainability and women and youth	Oct 2015	Oct 2017		Oct 2018	Dec 2016	
Classification: High Satisfactory	C1.I3	Number of farmers receiving training in cane cultivation	0	2000	4000	5400	4255	On Course
		through farmer field schools (CRF 130100) (Disaggregated by sex)	Oct 2015	Oct 2016	Oct 2017	Oct 2018	Jun 2018	
	C1.I4	Number of harvest group leaders (HGLs) trained in improved	0	75	200	270	260	On Course

		cane harvesting and harvest management (CRF 130100)	Oct 2015	Oct 2016	Oct 2017	Oct 20	18 Jun 2	2018	
	C1.I5	Number of farmers trained by HGLs in improved cane	0	1500	4000	5400) 45	00	On Course
		harvesting	Oct 2015	Oct 2016	Oct 2017	Oct 20	18 Jun 2	2018	
	C1.I6	Demonstration plots established and maintained for harvest	0	12	12	12	1	2	Finished
		group trainings	Oct 2015	Oct 2016	Oct 2017	Oct 20	18 Jun 2	2018	
Component 2: Developing an Industry-	C2.I1	Number of cane parcel GIS datasets completed	0	1	1	1	5	i	Finished
Level Management Information System		Dataset contains 90% of cane parcels in production	Oct 2015	Oct 2016	Oct 2017	Oct 20	18 Jun 2	2018	
	C2.12	Number of cane farmer registry/identification systems	0	1	1	1	4	ŀ	Finished
Weight: 16%		completed	Oct 2015	Oct 2016	Oct 2017	Oct 20	18 Dec 2	2017	
Classification, High Satisfactory	C2.I3	Number of HGL trained on Sugar Industry Management	0	75	200	270	27	'5	Finished
Classification: High Satisfactory		Information System (CRF 110100) (Disaggregated by sex)	Oct 2015	Oct 2016	Oct 2017	Oct 20	18 Jun 2	2018	
	C2.14	Number of field captains and loaders trained on Sugar	0	100	250	540	37	4	On Course
		Industry Management Information System (CRF 110100)	Oct 2015	Oct 2016	Oct 2017	Oct 20	18 Feb 2	2018	
	C2 IF	(Disayyi eyaled by sex)	0	1		1			Finished
	62.15	and operational	0 Oct 2015	0 ct 2017		0 ort 20	10 Dog 1	2014	FILISHEU
	C2 14	Number of transport operators trained on Sugar Industry	0000000	100	250	0CT 20	10 Det 2	2010	Finishod
	62.10	Management Information System (CRF 110100) (Disaggregated by sex)	0 Oct 2015	0 ct 2014	200 Oct 2017	040	10 101	00	FILISHEU
			0012015	0012010	0012017	00120	IO JULZ	017	
Component 3: Capacity-building for farmers and farmer organizations	C3.I1	Number of managers and leaders from 3 cane farmer associations trained in financial management and internal controls (CPE 11000) (Disagregated by sov)	0	24	24	24	3	5	On Course
			Oct 2015	Oct 2016	Oct 2017	Oct 20	18 Oct 2	2017	
		Controls (CRF 110100) (Disaggregated by sex)	0	2	2	2			Finished
Weight: 1%	63.IZ	vear strategic business plans	0 Oct 2015	0 ct 2014	0 ct 2017	0 ort 20	10 Oct 2	0017	FILISHEU
Classification: High Satisfactory	C2 12	Number of formers trained on financial literacy tonics (form	0000000	1500	2000	00120	10 ULL2	70	On Course
classification. Then satisfactory	63.13	budgeting cash flow management credit) (CRF 130100)	0 Oct 2015	0 of 2014	3000 Oct 2017	0400	10 lup (/0	On course
		(Disaggregated by sex)	0012015	0012018	0012017	00120	IO JUII2	2010	
		·							
Component 4: Knowledge management	C4.I1	Number of public sector institutions who access MIF	0			5			
and strategic communication	C4 12	knowledge products knowledge sharing activities (CRF 150100)				Oct 20	18		
			0			10			
weight: 2%	04.12	knowledge products knowledge sharing activities (CRF	0			Oct 20	18		
Classification: Satisfactory		150100)				00120			
orassinuation. Satisfactory	C4.13	Number of development partners who access MIF	0			2			
		knowledge products knowledge sharing activities (CRF				Oct 20	18		
	C4 14	Publication of an annual report on Northern Belize	0	1	1	3	1		On Course
		Sugarcane industry based on data from SIMIS		Oct 2016	Oct 2017	Oct 20	18 Oct 3	2016	onoourse
	C4 15	Communications plan developed	0	3012010	30(2017	1			
	04.15					Oct 20	18		
	C4 16	Elanship publication/annual report on the state of the	0			1			
	04.10	Northern Belize Sugarcane industry.				Oct 20	18		
	C4 17	Case Study	0			1			
			<u> </u>			Oct 20	18		
	C4.18	Annual Event	0			3		,	On Course
	00					Oct 20	18 Jul 2	017	211 00 01 30
		1		1		50120			

Mile	stones	Planned	Due Date	Achieved	Date of achievement	Status
M0	Conditions Prior	8	Apr 2016	8	Apr 2016	Achieved
M1	Demonstration Plots Established by Each Field Officer.	12	Nov 2016	12	Sep 2016	Achieved
M2	Printing of Farmer Field School (FFS) Manuals	1	May 2017	1	May 2017	Achieved
M3	Airing of Integrated Pest Management (IPM) Audiovisual	1	Nov 2017	1	Oct 2017	Achieved
M4	Airing of Harvesting Audiovisual	1	Mar 2018	1	Mar 2018	Achieved
M5	Hosting of Strategic Communication Event	1	Aug 2018			
M6	Completion of Train the Trainers Program	1	Feb 2019			

CRITICAL ISSUES THAT HAVE AFFECTED PERFORMANCE [None reported in this period]

MOST IMPORTANT RISKS AFFECTING FUTURE PERFORMANCE

1. Stakeholder Risk: There is a risk that the SDP process may extend beyond one year because industry stakeholders cannot sign off on critical actions to secure long-term viability of the sugar industry. The outcome of the SDP process effectively determines whether BSI-ASR will move forward with the expansion of the mill and power plant and the farmer credit facility which is key to industry growth.	Level High	Mitigation action BSI-ASR, the Government of Belize and the farmer associations are aware of the importance of moving forward with the SDP for their mutual benefit. While the project cannot completely mitigate this risk, the implementation of the MIF project is expected to create positive momentum and improve information and coordination that will be important for concluding the SDP and beginning industry expansion. Until the SDP is agreed, BSI-ASR is moving forward with smaller scale investments aimed at improving efficiency in the cane yard, storage capacity and transport systems to address immediate constraints	Responsible Project Coordinator
2. Market Risk. Although EU preferential prices for Belize will cease, further changes to the EU sugar regime may increase the supply of sugar on the market, further driving down prices.	High	The BSCFA is Fairtrade certified and the two new associations (the CSCPA and the PSCPA) are expected to become certified soon. As such, BSI-ASR is working to establish contracts with large buyers looking to source Fairtrade sugar. This is part of the industry's diversification strategy to enter new markets and capture higher prices.	Project Coordinator
3. Sustainability Risk. This risk is related to the extension service not being sustained beyond the MIF project but, more importantly, it relates to SIRDI's capacity to become financially sustainable in the long	High	: From its design, the MIF project proposal aims to consolidate SIRDI's extension services so that services can be provided in an organized manner. The project includes the creation of a sustainability plan for SIRDI. The plan will seek to have BSI-ASR and the three associations co- finance these core industry services after MIF participation ends	Project Coordinator
JUNE 2018		Page 3	ATN/ME-15051-BL

term

4. Absence of sufficient credit for farmers. Raising productivity is closely linked to replanting cane fields, which requires availability of affordable, long term credit for farmers. There is a risk that core services offered by the project (extension services, improved varieties, information management) may not be sufficient to increase farmer productivity without access to finance	Medium	While the project does not directly address access to long term credit, this is a core part of the SDP, which envisages the establishment of a US\$100 million cane farmer credit facility. BSI-ASR has engaged in detailed discussion with development partners (including SCF/CFI) around structuring a farmer credit facility which would enable 70,000 acres to be replanted over a 10 year period. Until this facility is in place, the project will leverage the existing EU replanting fund which is providing financing for renovating cane fields until the end of 2017.	or
PROJECT RISK LEVEL: High TOTAL NUMBER C	OF RISKS: 4	IN EFFECT RISKS: 4 NOT IN EFFECT RISKS: 0 MITIGATED RISKS: 0	

SECTION 5: SUSTAINABILITY

Likelihood of project sustainability after project completion: HP - Highly Probable

CRITICAL ISSUES THAT MAY AFFECT PROJECT SUSTAINABILITY

[None reported in this period]

Actions related to sustainability which have been taken in the reporting period:

Discussions are ongoing between the stakeholders in the sugar industry regarding sustainability issues. In the next reporting period, a report will be made on more specific areas being considered by the industry, as a sustainability planning event will be held in the month of August, 2018. Discussions will be held on the level of funding and administration of the activities carried out under the project. As well, a report on the level of income for the stakeholders, including BSI/ASR, will be determined, and this will dictate the level of funding available for the activities. In terms of SIRDI, they continue to provide farmer services including tractor and farm implements, pest and disease control and in the near future, biologic control of the most important pests in the industry. A mini soils laboratory is now being set up on the SIRDI compound and will shortly offer services to cane farmers and other agricultural farmers. In the Month of August, 2018, a strategic communication meeting will be held with all stakeholders during which the sustainability issues of the project will be discussed and agreed upon. Reporting on this meeting will be made in the next report.

SECTION 6: PRACTICAL LESSONS

1. Since there is a large contingent of staff to monitor, it would have been best if a vehicle would be made available specifically for project monitoring. Either purchase or assignment would have made implementation better.	Relative to Implementation	Author Tewes, Michael	
2. In the design of projects of this type, it is important that an accountant be hired specifically for the project implementation. Having an accountant with many other activities to monitor makes it difficult to have timely accounts reports and delays implementation.	Design	Tewes, Michael	