



# Safeguard Policy Filter Report

## Operation Information

Operation		
BR-L1421 Integrated and Sustainable Urban Development Program of The Municipality of João Pessoa		
Environmental and Social Impact Category	High Risk Rating	
A	{Not Set}	
Country	Executing Agency	
BRAZIL	BR-PMJOAOP - PREFEITURA MUNICIPAL DE JOAO PESSOA)	
Organizational Unit	IDB Sector/Subsector	
Country Office Brazil	SUSTAINABLE CITIES	
Team Leader	ESG Primary Team Member	
MARCIA MARIA SILVA CASSEB	ELIZABETH JOANA GRAYBILL DO NASCIMENTO BRITO	
Type of Operation	Original IDB Amount	% Disbursed
Loan Operation	\$100,000,000	0.000 %
Assessment Date	Author	
17 Aug 2017	ebrito ESG Primary Team Member	
Operation Cycle Stage	Completion Date	
ERM (Estimated)	30 Mar 2017	
QRR (Estimated)	3 Aug 2017	
Board Approval (Estimated)	{Not Set}	
Safeguard Performance Rating		
{Not Set}		
Rationale		
{Not Set}		

## Safeguard Policy Items Identified

### [B.1 Bank Policies \(Access to Information Policy– OP-102\)](#)

The Bank will make the relevant project documents available to the public.

### [B.1 Bank Policies \(Disaster Risk Management Policy– OP-704\)](#)



# Safeguard Policy Filter Report

The operation is in a geographical area exposed to [natural hazards](#) ([Type 1 Disaster Risk Scenario](#)). Climate change may increase the frequency and/or intensity of some hazards.

## B.1 Bank Policies (Disaster Risk Management Policy– OP-704)

The sector of the operation is vulnerable to natural hazards. Climate change may increase the frequency and/or intensity of some hazards.

## B.1 Bank Policies (Disaster Risk Management Policy– OP-704)

The operation includes activities related to climate change adaptation, but these are not the primary objective of the operation.

## B.1 Bank Policies (Gender Equality Policy– OP-761)

The operation is designed specifically to address [gender equality](#) or [women's empowerment](#) issues.

## B.1 Bank Policies (Gender Equality Policy– OP-761)

The operation will offer opportunities to promote [gender equality](#) or [women's empowerment](#).

## B.1 Bank Policies (Resettlement Policy– OP-710)

The operation has the potential to disrupt the livelihoods of people living in the project area of influence (not limited to involuntary displacement, see also Resettlement Policy)

## B.2 Country Laws and Regulations

The operation is expected to be in compliance with laws and regulations of the country regarding specific women's rights, the environment, gender and indigenous peoples (including national obligations established under ratified multilateral environmental agreements).

## B.3 Screening and Classification

The operation (including [associated facilities](#)) is screened and classified according to its potential environmental impacts.

## B.4 Other Risk Factors

The operation may be of high risk due to controversial environmental and associated social issues or liabilities.

## B.4 Other Risk Factors

The operation is [specifically designed](#) to increase the ability of society and ecological systems to adapt to a changing climate.

## B.4 Other Risk Factors

The operation [includes activities](#) to close current “adaptation deficits” or to increase the ability of society and ecological systems to adapt to a changing climate.

## B.5 Environmental Assessment Requirements

An environmental assessment is required.

## B.6 Consultations



# Safeguard Policy Filter Report

Consultations with affected parties will be performed equitably and inclusively with the views of all stakeholders taken into account, including in particular: (a) equal participation by women and men, (b) socio-culturally appropriate participation of indigenous peoples and (c) mechanisms for equitable participation by vulnerable groups.

## B.7 Supervision and Compliance

The Bank is expected to monitor the executing agency/borrower's compliance with all safeguard requirements stipulated in the loan agreement and project operating or credit regulations.

## B.9 Natural Habitats and Cultural Sites

The operation will result in the degradation or conversion of Natural Habitat or Critical Natural Habitat in the project area of influence.

## B.10. Hazardous Materials

The operation has the potential to impact the environment and occupational health and safety due to the production, procurement, use, and/or disposal of hazardous material, including organic and inorganic toxic substances, pesticides and persistent organic pollutants (POPs).

## B.11. Pollution Prevention and Abatement

The operation has the potential to pollute the environment (e.g. air, soil, water, greenhouse gases).

## B.12. Project Under Construction

The operation is already [under construction](#) by the executing agency or borrower.

## B.17. Procurement

Suitable safeguard provisions for the procurement of goods and services in Bank financed operations may be incorporated into project-specific loan agreements, operating regulations and bidding documents, as appropriate, to ensure environmentally responsible procurement.

## Potential Safeguard Policy Items

### B.4 Other Risk Factors

The borrower/executing agency exhibits weak institutional capacity for managing environmental and social issues.

## Recommended Actions



## Safeguard Policy Filter Report

Operation has triggered 1 or more Policy Directives; please refer to appropriate Directive(s). Complete Project Classification Tool. Submit Safeguard Policy Filter Report, PP (or equivalent) and Safeguard Screening Form to ESR. The project triggered the Disaster Risk Management policy (OP-704) and this should be reflected in the Project Environmental and Social Strategy. A Disaster Risk Assessment (DRA) may be required (see Directive A-2 of the DRM Policy OP-704). Next, please complete a Disaster Risk Classification along with Impact Classification. Also: if the project needs to be modified to increase resilience to climate change, consider the (i) possibility of classification as adaptation project and (ii) additional financing options. Please consult with INE/CCS adaptation group for guidance. The project triggered the Other Risks policy (B.04): climate risk.

- Please include sections on how climate risk will be dealt with in the ESS as well as client documents (EIA, EA, etc);
- Recommend addressing risks from gradual changes in climate for the project in cost/benefit and credit risk analyses as well as TORs for engineering studies.

### Additional Comments

[No additional comments]



## Safeguard Screening Form

### Operation Information

Operation		
<b>BR-L1421</b> Integrated and Sustainable Urban Development Program of The Municipality of João Pessoa		
Environmental and Social Impact Category	High Risk Rating	
A	{Not Set}	
Country	Executing Agency	
BRAZIL	BR-PMJOAOP - PREFEITURA MUNICIPAL DE JOAO PESSOA)	
Organizational Unit	IDB Sector/Subsector	
Country Office Brazil	SUSTAINABLE CITIES	
Team Leader	ESG Primary Team Member	
MARCIA MARIA SILVA CASSEB	ELIZABETH JOANA GRAYBILL DO NASCIMENTO BRITO	
Type of Operation	Original IDB Amount	% Disbursed
Loan Operation	\$100,000,000	0.000 %
Assessment Date	Author	
17 Aug 2017	ebrito ESG Primary Team Member	
Operation Cycle Stage	Completion Date	
ERM (Estimated)	30 Mar 2017	
QRR (Estimated)	3 Aug 2017	
Board Approval (Estimated)	{Not Set}	
Safeguard Performance Rating		
{Not Set}		
Rationale		
{Not Set}		

### Operation Classification Summary



## Safeguard Screening Form

Overridden Rating	Overridden Justification
Comments	

### Conditions / Recommendations

Category "A" operations require an Environmental Impact Assessment or a Strategic Environmental Assessment (see Environment Policy Guideline: Directive B.5 for EIA and SEA requirements) and at least two consultations with affected parties.

These operations will require an environmental assessment (EA), normally an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) for investment operations, or other environmental assessments such as a Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) for programs and other financial operations that involve plans and policies. Category "A" operations are considered high safeguard risk. For some high safeguard risk operations that, in the Bank's opinion raise complex and sensitive environmental, social, or health and safety concerns, the borrower should normally establish an advisory panel of experts to provide guidance for the design and/or execution of the operation on issues relevant to the EA process, including health and safety. However, these operations will also establish safeguard, or monitoring requirements to address environmental and other risks (social, disaster, cultural, health and safety etc.).

The Project Team must send to the ESR the PP (or equivalent) containing the Environmental and Social Strategy (the requirements for an ESS are described in the Environment Policy Guideline: Directive B.3) as well as the Safeguard Policy Filter and Safeguard Screening Form Reports.

### Summary of Impacts / Risks and Potential Solutions

Conversion or [degradation](#) of natural habitat causing [minor](#) to [moderate](#) impact on ecological function.



## Safeguard Screening Form

Mitigation measures presented in the Biodiversity Management Plan must be acceptable: The mitigation measures should be presented in the Biodiversity Management Plan (included in the ESMP) and should follow the mitigation hierarchy: impacts to biodiversity should be avoided in the first instance (i.e. proposed activities relocated or reconfigured); if avoidance of all impacts is not possible, those remaining should be minimized, mitigated by restoration, or compensated for. The BMP should also explain what consultation activities are planned. The BMP must define how these measures will be implemented (roles and responsibilities, monitoring, budget, etc.). Confirmation should be obtained from competent experts that they are confident that the BMP can mitigate impacts and that approval has been granted by relevant authorities. Regular (bi-annual or annual) reporting is required, in addition to independent audits of BMP. Depending on the financial product, the BMP should also be referenced in appropriate legal documentation (covenants, conditions of disbursement, project completion tests, etc.).

Generation of solid waste is [moderate](#) in volume, does not include [hazardous materials](#) and follows standards recognized by multilateral development banks.

**Solid Waste Management:** The borrower should monitor and report on waste reduction, management and disposal and may also need to develop a Waste Management Plan (which could be included in the ESMP). Effort should be placed on reducing and re-cycling solid wastes. Specifically (if applicable) in the case that national legislations have no provisions for the disposal and destruction of hazardous materials, the applicable procedures established within the Rotterdam Convention, the Stockholm Convention, the Basel Convention, the WHO List on Banned Pesticides, and the Pollution Prevention and Abatement Handbook (PPAH), should be taken into consideration.

Project construction activities are likely to lead to localized and temporary impacts (such as dust, noise, traffic etc) that will affect local communities and [workers](#) but these are [minor](#) to [moderate](#) in nature.

**Construction:** The borrower should demonstrate how the construction impacts will be mitigated. Appropriate management plans and procedures should be incorporated into the ESMP. Review of implementation as well as reporting on the plan should be part of the legal documentation (covenants, conditions of disbursement, etc).

Safety issues associated with structural elements of the project (e.g. dams, public buildings etc), or road transport activities (heavy vehicle movement, transport of [hazardous materials](#), etc.) exist which could result in [moderate](#) health and safety [risks](#) to local communities.

**Address Community Health Risks:** The borrower should be required to provide a plan for managing risks which could be part of the ESMP; (including details of grievances and any independent audits undertaken during the year). Compliance with the plan should be monitored and reported. Requirements for independent audits should be considered if there are questions over borrower commitment or potential outstanding community concerns.

The project is located in an area prone to [inland flooding](#) and the likely severity of the impacts to the project is [moderate](#).



## Safeguard Screening Form

A Disaster Risk Assessment, that includes a Disaster Risk Management Plan (DRMP), may be necessary, depending on the complexity of the project and in cases where the vulnerability of a specific project component may compromise the whole operation. The DRMP should propose measures to manage or mitigate these risks to an acceptable level. This must take into consideration changes in the frequency and intensity of intensive rainfall and in the patterns of snowmelt that could occur with climate change. The DRMP includes risk reduction measures (siting and engineering options), disaster risk preparedness and response (contingency planning, etc.), as well as the financial protection (risk transfer, retention) of the project. The DRM Plan takes into account existing vulnerability levels and coping capacities, the area's disaster alert and prevention system, general design standards, land use regulations and civil defense recommendations in flood prone areas. However, the options and solutions are sector- and even case-specific and are selected based on a cost analysis of equivalent alternatives.

The project will require [significant involuntary resettlement](#) and/or economic displacement (i.e. it is a [direct](#) impact of the project)

**Develop Resettlement Plan (RP):** The borrower should be required to develop a RP (as part of the ESMP) that demonstrates the following attributes: (a) detailed socio-economic survey and baseline of the affected households and groups; (b) successful engagement with affected parties via a process of Community Participation; (c) mechanisms for delivery of compensation in a timely and efficient fashion; (d) a livelihoods restoration program; (e) budgeting and internal capacity (within borrower's organization) to monitor and manage resettlement activities as necessary over the course of the project; and (f) a grievance mechanism for resettled people. Depending on the financial product, the RP should be referenced in legal documentation (covenants, conditions of disbursement, credit and operating regulations, project completion tests, etc.), require regular (quarterly, bi-annual or annual) reporting and independent review of implementation, including participatory monitoring.

### Disaster Risk Summary

Disaster Risk Level

**Moderate**

Disaster / Recommendations





## Safeguard Screening Form

The reports of the Safeguard Screening Form (i.e., of the Safeguards Policy Filter and the Safeguard Classification) constitute the Disaster Risk Profile to be included in the Environmental and Social Strategy (ESS). The Project Team must send the PP (or equivalent) containing the ESS to the ESR.

The Borrower prepares a Disaster Risk Management Summary, based on pertinent information, focusing on the specific moderate disaster and climate risks associated with the project and the proposed risk management measures. Operations classified to involve moderate disaster risk do not require a full Disaster Risk Assessment (see Directive A-2 of the DRM Policy OP-704).

The Project Team examines and adopts the DRM summary. The team remits the project risk reduction proposals from the DRMP to the engineering review by the sector expert or the independent engineer during project analysis or due diligence, and the financial protection proposals to the insurance review (if this is performed). The potential exacerbation of risks for the environment and population and the proposed risk preparedness or mitigation measures are included in the Environmental and Social Management Report (ESMR), and are reviewed by the ESG expert or environmental consultant. The results of these analyses are reflected in the general risk analysis for the project. Regarding the project implementation, monitoring and evaluation phases, the project team identifies and supervises the DRM approaches being applied by the project executing agency.

Climate change adaptation specialists in INE/CCS may be consulted for information regarding the influence of climate change on existing and new natural hazard risks. If the project requires modification or adjustments to increase its resilience to climate change, consider (i) the possibility of classification as an adaptation project and (ii) additional financing options. Please consult the INE/CCS adaptation group for guidance.

### Disaster Summary

#### Details

The project is classified as moderate disaster risk because of the likely impact of at least one of the natural hazards is average.

#### Actions

Operation has triggered 1 or more Policy Directives; please refer to appropriate Directive(s). Complete Project Classification Tool. Submit Safeguard Policy Filter Report, PP (or equivalent) and Safeguard Screening Form to ESR.