

Operation Information

Operation		
ME-G1012 GEF program for the implementation Mexican cities	on of projects prioritized by	the ICES in three
Environmental and Social Impact Category	High Risk Rating	
В	{Not Set}	
Country	Executing Agency	
MEXICO	{Not Set}	
Organizational Unit	IDB Sector/Subsector	
Energy	{Not Set}	
Team Leader	ESG Lead Specialist	
MARIA EUGENIA DE LA PEÑA RAMOS	{Not Set}	
Type of Operation	Original IDB Amount	% Disbursed
Investment Grants	\$0	0.000 %
Assessment Date	Author	
1 Apr 2016	robertole	
Operation Cycle Stage	Completion Date	
ERM (Estimated)	{Not Set}	
QRR (Estimated)	{Not Set}	
Board Approval (Estimated)	{Not Set}	
Safeguard Performance Rating	'	
{Not Set}		
Rationale		
{Not Set}		

Operation Classification Summary

Overriden Rating	Overriden Justification
Comments	



Conditions / Recommendations

Category "B" operations require an environmental analysis (see Environment Policy Guideline: Directive B.5 for Environmental Analysis requirements)

The Project Team must send to ESR the PP (or equivalent) containing the Environmental and Social Strategy (the requirements for an ESS are described in the Environment Policy Guideline: Directive B.3) as well as the Safeguard Policy Filter and Safeguard Screening Form Reports. These operations will normally require an environmental and/or social impact analysis, according to, and focusing on, the specific issues identified in the screening process, and an environmental and social management plan (ESMP). However, these operations should also establish safeguard, or monitoring requirements to address environmental and other risks (social, disaster, cultural, health and safety etc.) where necessary.

Summary of Impacts / Risks and Potential Solutions

Moderate Greenhouse Gas Emissions are predicted.

Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Assessment: The borrower should promote the reduction of project-related greenhouse gas emissions in a manner appropriate to the nature and scale of project operations and impacts. The borrower should quantify direct emissions from the facilities owned or controlled within the physical project boundary and indirect emissions associated with the off-site production of power used by the project. Quantification and monitoring of GHG emissions should be conducted annually in accordance with internationally recognized methodologies (i.e. IPCC - http://www.ipcc.ch/). In addition, the borrower should evaluate technically and financially feasible and cost-effective options for the reduction/offset of emissions that may be achieved during the design and operation of the project. The Sustainable Energy and Climate Change Initiative (SECCI) can help with this task (http://www.iadb.org/secci/).

Generation of solid waste is <u>moderate</u> in volume, does not include <u>hazardous materials</u> and follows standards recognized by multilateral development banks.

Solid Waste Management: The borrower should monitor and report on waste reduction, management and disposal and may also need to develop a Waste Management Plan (which could be included in the ESMP). Effort should be placed on reducing and re-cycling solid wastes. Specifically (if applicable) in the case that national legislations have no provisions for the disposal and destruction of hazardous materials, the applicable procedures established within the Rotterdam Convention, the Stockholm Convention, the Basel Convention, the WHO List on Banned Pesticides, and the Pollution Prevention and Abatement Handbook (PPAH), should be taken into consideration.



The project is located in an area prone to <u>inland flooding</u> and the likely severity of the impacts to the project is <u>moderate</u>.

A Disaster Risk Assessment, that includes a Disaster Risk Management Plan (DRMP), may be necessary, depending on the complexity of the project and in cases where the vulnerability of a specific project component may compromise the whole operation. The DRMP should propose measures to manage or mitigate these risks to an acceptable level. This must take into consideration changes in the frequency and intensity of intensive rainfall and in the patterns of snowmelt that could occur with climate change. The DRMP includes risk reduction measures (siting and engineering options), disaster risk preparedness and response (contingency planning, etc.), as well as the financial protection (risk transfer, retention) of the project. The DRM Plan takes into account existing vulnerability levels and coping capacities, the area's disaster alert and prevention system, general design standards, land use regulations and civil defense recommendations in flood prone areas. However, the options and solutions are sector- and even case-specific and are selected based on a cost analysis of equivalent alternatives.

Disaster Risk Summary

Disaster Risk Level

Moderate

Disaster / Recommendations



The reports of the Safeguard Screening Form (i.e., of the Safeguards Policy Filter and the Safeguard Classification) constitute the Disaster Risk Profile to be included in the Environmental and Social Strategy (ESS). The Project Team must send the PP (or equivalent) containing the ESS to the ESR.

to the ESR.

The Borrower prepares a Disaster Risk Management Summary, based on pertinent information, focusing on the specific moderate disaster and climate risks associated with the project and the proposed risk management measures. Operations classified to involve moderate disaster risk do not require a full Disaster Risk Assessment (see Directive A-2 of the DRM Policy OP-704).

>

Climate change adaptation specialists in INE/CCS may be consulted for information regarding the influence of climate change on existing and new natural hazard risks. If the project requires modification or adjustments to increase its resilience to climate change, consider (i) the possibility of classification as an adaptation project and (ii) additional financing options. Please consult the INE/CCS adaptation group for guidance.

Disaster Summary

Details

The project is classified as moderate disaster risk because of the likely impact of at least one of the natural hazards is average.

Actions

Operation has triggered 1 or more Policy Directives; please refer to appropriate Directive(s). Complete Project Classification Tool. Submit Safeguard Policy Filter Report, PP (or equivalent) and Safeguard Screening Form to ESR.



Safeguard Policy Filter Report

Operation Information

Operation		
ME-G1012 GEF program for the implementation Mexican cities	on of projects prioritized by	the ICES in three
Environmental and Social Impact Category	High Risk Rating	
В	{Not Set}	
Country	Executing Agency	
MEXICO	{Not Set}	
Organizational Unit	IDB Sector/Subsector	
Energy	{Not Set}	
Team Leader	ESG Lead Specialist	
MARIA EUGENIA DE LA PEÑA RAMOS	{Not Set}	
Type of Operation	Original IDB Amount	% Disbursed
Investment Grants	\$0	0.000 %
Assessment Date	Author	
1 Apr 2016	robertole	
Operation Cycle Stage	Completion Date	
ERM (Estimated)	{Not Set}	
QRR (Estimated)	{Not Set}	
Board Approval (Estimated)	{Not Set}	
Safeguard Performance Rating		
{Not Set}		
Rationale		
{Not Set}		

Safeguard Policy Items Identified

B.1 Bank Policies (Access to Information Policy- OP-102)

The Bank will make the relevant project documents available to the public.

B.1 Bank Policies (Disaster Risk Management Policy- OP-704)



Safeguard Policy Filter Report

The operation is in a geographical area exposed to <u>natural hazards</u> (<u>Type 1 Disaster Risk Scenario</u>). Climate change may increase the frequency and/or intensity of some hazards.

B.1 Bank Policies (Disaster Risk Management Policy- OP-704)

The specific objective of the operation is climate change adaptation

B.16. In-country Systems

In-country systems will be used based on results from equivalency and acceptability analyses.

B.17. Procurement

Suitable safeguard provisions for the procurement of goods and services in Bank financed operation will be incorporated into project-specific loan agreements, operating regulations and bidding documents, as appropriate, to ensure environmentally responsible procurement.

B.2 Country Laws and Regulations

The operation is in compliance with laws and regulations of the country regarding specific women's rights, the environment, gender and indigenous peoples (including national obligations established under ratified multilateral environmental agreements).

B.3 Screening and Classification

The operation (including associated facilities) is screened and classified according to its potential environmental impacts.

B.4 Other Risk Factors

There are associated facilities (see policy definition) related to the operation.

B.4 Other Risk Factors

The operation is <u>specifically designed</u> to increase the ability of society and ecological systems to adapt to a changing climate.

B.5 Environmental Assessment Requirements

An environmental assessment is required.

B.6 Consultations

Consultations with affected parties will be performed equitably and inclusively with the views of all stakeholders taken into account, including in particular: (a) equal participation by women and men, (b) socioculturally appropriate participation of indigenous peoples and (c) mechanisms for equitable participation by vulnerable groups.

B.7 Supervision and Compliance

The Bank will monitor the executing agency/borrower's compliance with all safeguard requirements stipulated in the loan agreement and project operating or credit regulations.

Potential Safeguard Policy Items

B.1 Bank Policies (Disaster Risk Management Policy- OP-704)



Safeguard Policy Filter Report

The operation includes activities related to climate change adaptation, but these are not the primary objective of the operation.

Recommended Actions

Operation has triggered 1 or more Policy Directives; please refer to appropriate Directive(s). Complete Project Classification Tool. Submit Safeguard Policy Filter Report, PP (or equivalent) and Safeguard Screening Form to ESR. The project triggered the Disaster Risk Management policy (OP-704) and this should be reflected in the Project Environmental and Social Strategy. A Disaster Risk Assessment (DRA) may be required (see Directive A-2 of the DRM Policy OP-704). Next, please complete a Disaster Risk Classification along with Impact Classification. Also: if the project needs to be modified to increase resilience to climate change, consider the (i) possibility of classification as adaptation project and (ii) additional financing options. Please consult with INE/CCS adaptation group for guidance. The project triggered the Other Risks policy (B.04): climate risk.

- Please include sections on how climate risk will be dealt with in the ESS as well as client documents (EIA, EA, etc);
- Recommend addressing risks from gradual changes in climate for the project in cost/benefit and credit risk analyses as well as TORs for engineering studies.

Additional Comments

[No additional comments]