

Operation Number: HA-L1087

Year- PMR Cycle: Second period Jan-Dec 2013

Last Update: 7/10/2014

PMR Validation Stage: Validated by Representative Country Representative validation date:

Chief of Operations validation date: 07/10/2014
Division Chief validation date: 07/10/2014
Country Representative validation date: 07/10/2014

Office of Strategic Planning and Development Effectiveness

# **Operation Profile**

## **Basic Data**

Operation name:	Water Management Program in the Artibonite Basin	Loan Number:	3089/GR-HA
Executing Agency (EA):	Ministère de l'Agriculture, des Ressourc es Naturelles et du Développement Rural		
Team Leader:	Bidault,Caroline Sylviane	Sector/Subsector:	SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT
Operation Type:	Loan Operation	Overall Stage:	Disbursing (From eligibility until all the loans are closed).
Lending Instrument:	Investment Loan	Country:	HAITI
Borrower:	REPUBLIQUE D' HAITI	Convergence related Operation(s):	

#### **Total Cost and Source**

# Available Funds (US\$)

	Original IDB	Current Active IDB	Local Counterpart	Co-Financing/Country	Total operation cost - Original Estimate		Current IDB	Disb. Amount to Date	% Disbursed	Undisbursed Balance
HA-L1087	\$25,000,000.00	\$25,000,000.00	\$2,500,000.00	\$0.00	\$27,500,000.00	HA-L1087	\$25,000,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	\$25,000,000.00

# **Environmental and Social Safeguards**

	Main Operation
Impacts Category:	В
Safeguard Performance Rating:	
Safeguard Performance Rating - Rationale:	

## **Reformulation Information**

	Main Operation
Was/Were the objective(s) of this operation reformulated?	NO
Date of approval:	

# **Results Matrix**

# Impacts Impact:

Observation:								
Indicator	rs Fla	gs* Unit of Measure	Baseline	Baseline Year	Means of verification	Observations		EOP
1.1 Value of annual a					Means of		Р	1,738.00
damages caused by the Artibonite waters					Verification:		P(a)	1,738.00
the Antibonite waters	nea	1100			Specific evaluation by	Source of	Α	
		USD thousands	8,700.00	2013	the Ministry of Agriculture, using the same sample as Artelia.	baseline: Artelia surveys		

1 Decrease crop, livestock and infrastructure losses caused by flooding in the Artibonite watershed.

Observation:									
Indic	cators	Flags*	Unit of Measure	Baseline	Baseline Year	Means of verification	Observations		EOP
2.1 In the irrigati						Household		Р	1,515.0
average annual ice for beneficia	gross margins of larv farmers					surveys during the		P(a)	1,515.0
						final	Source and	Α	
2.2 In the upper watershed:			US\$/Ha	1,176.00	2013	evaluation (ex-post economic analysis), using the same sample as Artelia.	year of baseline: Artelia and AECOM.		
2.2 In the upper watershed: difference in average annual gross margins in selected gullies between the group of beneficiaries and control							(1) According	Р	1,556.0
							to a study (Bayard,	P(a)	1,556.0
			US\$/Ha	0.00	2013	Household surveys conducted by the firm contracted for impact evaluation.	2013), the typical crop association in gullies change from a low-profit grain-based cropping pattern? without? infrastructure to a high-profit banana-grain-based pattern? with? infrastructure. (2) The randomized phase-in o	A	

#### **Outcomes**

Outco me: 1 OUTCOME 1: Improve water and sediment containment in selected gullies of the upper Artibonite watershed.

Observ During rainfalls events, infrastructures built in the gullies will contain (i) Sediments:

with time, sediments will accumulate and create highly fertile areas where high-value crops can be grown (ii) Water: it will be contained on the upstream side of che

Indicators	Flags*	Unit of Measure	Baseline	Baselin e Year	Means of verification	Observ ations		2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	ЕОР
1.1 Indicator						The	Р						66,500.00
1.1: Total						volume	P(a)						66,500.00
volume of sediment						of sedimen	Α						
contained by check-dams		m3	0.00	2013	Day-to-day observations and measurements performed by field- based students affiliated to MARNDR? s Studies and Programming Unit (UEP)	ts containe d is a good indicator of the program ?s environ mental benefit because in the absence of check-dams, these sedimen ts would have flown downstr eam and contribut ed to the silting of infrastru ctures, includin g the Péligre reservo							
1.2 Indicator						The total	Р						620.00
1.2: Market gardens						area of market	P(a)						620.00
created in the						gardens	Α						
gullies		На	0.00	2013	Day-to-day observations and measurem ents performed by field- based students affiliated to MARNDR? s Studies and Programming Unit (UEP)	economi c benefit (agricult							

.3 Indicator .3: Total nnual volume										E2 000 00
nnual volume						Water retention	P P(a)			52,000.00 52,000.00
						tanks	A			52,000.00
f water stored y water						built on the	А			
etention tanks		m3	0.00	2013	Day-to-day observations and measurem ents performed by field- based students affiliated to MARNDR? s Studies and Programming Unit (UEP)	bbservatio s and mile thus facilitate access to water usable for agricultu ral as domesti or purpose s by local populati ons. Field observat ion (Saintil, 2013) suggest s that a wat				
.4 Indicator						Each	Р			2,350.00
.4: Farmers	~					check-	P(a)			2,350.00
ho benefit om new	ŔF					dam will benefit	A			_,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
ultivable area					Household	one				
nd better ccess to /ater.		Farmers	0.00	2013	surveys performed by field- based students affiliated to MARNDR? s Studies and Programmi ng Unit (UEP)	farmer (and his family). Each water tank will benefit at least 10 addition al farmers (and their family).				
			r dietributio	on in the	Artibonite iri	igation dis	strict			
Outco 2 OUTC	COME 2: In	nprove wate	ar distribution							

Indicators	Flags*	Unit of Measure	Baseline	Baselin e Year	Means of verificatio n	Observ ations		2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	EOP
2.1 Indicator 2.1: Surface of the irrigation district that benefit optimal waterflows in the pilot area		На	0.00	2013	Water flows will be measured at gates (100 measuring devices installed, including a telemonitoring unit at ODVA = output 6).	? Optimal flows? means that actual waterflo ws measure d are consiste nt with theoretic al waterflo ws (for which the canals were designe d) and that there is no excess, scarcity or waste of water in the irrigation system.	P P(a) A						3,300.00 3,300.00
2.2 Indicator 2.2: Number of farmers that benefit a better water distribution in the pilot area of the irrigation district	<b>₹</b> RF	Farmers	0.00	2013	Water Users Association s' registry of members.		P P(a) A						6,400.00 6,400.00

me:

Outco 3 OUTCOME 3: Decrease waterlogging in the Artibonite irrigation district

Observ

ation:													
Indicators	Flags*	Unit of Measure	Baseline	Baselin e Year	Means of verification	Observ ations		2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	ЕОР
3.1 Indicator							Р						3,000.00
3.1: Surface cultivated in							P(a)						3,000.00
formerly		Ha	0.00	2013	Measures of areas with GPS.		Α						
uncultivated and hydromorphic area		. 10	0.00	2013									
3.2 Indicator	<b>~</b>						Р						7,500.00
3.2: Number of farmers	\						P(a)						7,500.00
cultivating in	RF				WUA's		Α						
formerly uncultivated and hydromorphic area		Farmers	0.00	2013	registry of members.								

Outco 4 OUTCOME 4: Improve flood management at Peligre dam

me:

Observ One of the main roles of the Péligre commission is to ensure that EDH complies with key operating rules at Peligre dam, including rules for flood management

a١	ш	J	ш	

ation:					Means of				=				
Indicators	Flags*	Unit of Measure	Baseline	Baselin e Year	verificatio n	Observ ations		2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	EOP
1.1 Indicator 1.1: Days with						Accordin g to the	P P(a)	75.00 75.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
vater level at Péligre dam						dam?s operatio	A	73.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
above the maximum limit for flood management		Days	75.00	2011	EDH operation reports at Peligre dam and flood manageme nt software (see output 8)	above							

4.2 Indicator 4.2: Days with water flows released by Péligre dam above 400 m3/sec		Days	18.00	2011	EDH operation reports at Peligre dam and flood manageme nt software (see output 8)	Flooding in the Valley is inevitable if Cannea u dam receives water flows above 500 m3/sec, then if Peligre dam releases more than 400 M3/sec (flow at Cannea u = flows from Peligre + flows for others tributarie s).	P P(a) A	18.00 18.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
---	--	------	-------	------	--	--	----------------	----------------	------	------	------	------	------

me:

Outco 5 OUTCOME 5: Improve ODVA□s internal management

Observ

Indicators	Flags*	Unit of Measure	Baseline	Baselin e Year	Means of verificatio n	Observ ations		2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	ЕОР
5.1 Indicator 5.1: Financial statements prepared by external auditors issued with a positive opinion		Audit	0.00	2013	Annual audits prepared by external auditors	The correct fiduciary and internal control manage ment of ODVA is a key part of the general capacity of ODVA to properly operate and maintain the main infrastru ctures of the irrigation district. Targets don?t cumulat e.	P P(a) A	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Outco 6 OUTCOME 6: Improve operations and maintenance of hydraulic infrastructures and equipment in the irrigation district

me:

Observ ation:

Indicators	Flags*	Unit of Measure	Baseline	Baselin e Year	Means of verification	Observ ations		2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	EOP
6.1 Indicator 6.1: Secondary						Measure s the	P P(a)	86.00 86.00	86.00 86.00	108.00 108.00	136.40 136.40	136.40 136.40	1,364.00 1,364.00
		Meters	86.00	2012	WUAs annual reports on operations, maintenan ce and collection of water tariffs (reports controlled by Technical Assistance firm)		P(a)	86.00	86.00	108.00	136.40	136.40	1,364.00
6.2 Indicator						Measure	Р	0.00	50.00	75.00	75.00	75.00	75.00
6.2: Rate of cost recovery in						s the WUAs?	P(a)	0.00	50.00	75.00	75.00	75.00	75.00
the 3 WUAs of the pilot area		%	0.00	2013	WUAs annual reports on operations, maintenan ce and collection of water tariffs (reports controlled by Technical Assistance firm)	financial viability (the capacity of WUAs to operate without subsidie s). Water tariffs will be at least \$US10/ Ha/year. Targets don?t cumulat e.	Α						



SI - Sector Indicator CI - Country Indicator PG - Pro-Gender PE - Pro-Ethnicity



# **Outputs: Annual Physical and Financial Progress**

Component 1. Water and sediment ma	anagement	Physic	al Progress	Financial Progress		
Outputs	Unit of Measure		EOP		EOP	
Output 1: Water and sediment	Infrastructures	Р	950.00	Р	5,262,500.00	
containment infrastructures built in		P(a)	950.00	P(a)	5,262,500.00	
gullies in the pilot area of the upper watershed		A		A		
Output 2: Electromechanical system	System	Р	1.00	Р	621,143.00	
(gates and automatic control) at	- Cy 0.0	P(a)	1.00	P(a)	621,143.00	
Canneau dam rehabilitated		A		A		
Output 3: Protection walls preventing	Wall	Р	2.00	Р	2,690,986.00	
the Left and Right Banks Master		P(a)	2.00	P(a)	2,690,986.00	
Canals from collapsing downstream Canneau dam: built		A		A		
Output 4: Secondary and tertiary	Kilometers	Р	50.40	Р	4,441,708.00	
irrigation and drainage canals built or		P(a)	50.40	P(a)	4,441,708.00	
rehabilitated in the pilot area of the irrigation district		A		A		
Output 5: Primary irrigation and	Meters	Р	120,000.00	Р	3,451,500.00	
drainage canals dredged in the		P(a)	120,000.00	P(a)	3,451,500.00	
irrigation district		À		À		
Output 6: Equipment to regulate and	Device	Р	100.00	Р	1,435,320.00	
measure water flow built/installed on		P(a)	100.00	P(a)	1,435,320.00	
the main canals of the irrigation district		À		À		
Component 2. Institutional strengther	ning	Physical Progress		Financial Progress		
Outputs	Unit of Measure		EOP		EOP	
Output 7: Meetings of the Péligre	Meetings	Р	27.00	Р	345,000.00	
Commission taking place		P(a)	27.00	P(a)	345,000.00	
		Α		Α		
Output 8: Flood management system	System	Р	1.00	Р	338,725.00	
(composed of water level gauges, flood management software and one		P(a)	1.00	P(a)	338,725.00	
computer per dam) operating at the Péligre and Canneau dams		Α		Α		
Output 9: Artibonite Watershed	Commission	Р	1.00	Р	250,000.00	
Binational Commission created		P(a)	1.00	P(a)	250,000.00	
		Α		Α		
Output 10: ODVA?s procedures manual	Manual	Р	1.00	Р	128,011.00	
for operation and maintenance of infrastructure and equipment prepared		P(a)	1.00	P(a)	128,011.00	
illinastructure and equipment prepared		Α		Α		
Output 11: CIA-ODVA's staff trained	Staff	Р	20.00	Р	256,024.00	
		P(a)	20.00	P(a)	256,024.00	
		Α		Α		
Output 12: Annual technical and	Reports/plans	Р	9.00	Р	256,023.00	
financial plan and annual technical and financial report of operation and		P(a)	9.00	P(a)	256,023.00	
maintenance of primary infrastructures under ODVA?s responsibility prepared		Α		Α		
Output 13: CIA-ODVA equipped with a	Package of	Р	1.00	Р	90,000.00	
package of operating equipment	equipment	P(a)	1.00	P(a)	90,000.00	
		A		A		
Output 14: ODVA?s administrative and	Staff	Р	10.00	Р	150,000.00	
financial staff trained		P(a)	10.00	P(a)	150,000.00	
		A		A		

Output 15: Accounting software	Accounting	Р	1.00	Р	15,000.00
installed at the ODVA?s administrative	software	P(a)	1.00	P(a)	15,000.00
and financial service		Α		Α	
Output 16: ODVA s administrative and	Package of	Р	1.00	Р	60,000.00
financial service equipped with a package of operating equipment	equipment	P(a)	1.00	P(a)	60,000.00
package of operating equipment		Α		Α	
Output 17: DGSE-ODVA equipped with	Package of	P	1.00	P	140,000.00
a package of operating equipment	equipment	P(a)	1.00	P(a)	140,000.00
		Α		Α	
Output 18: Water Users Associations	WUAs	P	16.00	P	695,000.00
(WUAs) equipped with office, IT equipment and motorcycles		P(a)	16.00	P(a)	695,000.00
equipment and motorcycles		Α		Α	
Output 19: DGSE and WUA staff	Staff	P	170.00	P	1,487,054.50
trained		P(a)	170.00	P(a)	1,487,054.50
		Α		Α	
Output 20: Annual technical and	Reports/plans	P	24.00	P	1,487,055.50
financial plan and annual technical and financial report of operation and		P(a)	24.00	P(a)	1,487,055.50
maintenance of infrastructures under		Α		Α	
WUAs? responsibility: prepared					
Administration Monitoring and Evalu	ation Audits				

Administration, Monitoring and Evaluation, Audits, Contingencies

Other Cost		Cost
Administration, Monitoring and Evaluation, Audits, Contingencies	Р	\$3,898,950.00
	P(a)	\$3,898,950.00
	Α	
Total Cost		Total Cost
Total Cost	P	<b>Total Cost</b> \$27,500,000.00
Total Cost	P P(a)	

# **Changes to the Matrix**

No information related to this operation.

Please note that the Overall Stage represents the stage of the operation at the time of this report's publication, which might not necessarily match the stage of the operation during the PMR Cycle to which the report pertains.